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WHAT WE DID:

Since January, Danwatch has been investigating how decommissioned ships are broken up for scrap around the world. It soon became clear that the majority of the world’s fleet is scrapped under objectionable conditions and with negative consequences for people and the environment. In recent years, Maersk has avoided the widely-criticised ship breaking yards in Pakistan, Bangladesh and India, but in May and June 2016, the company sent two ships to be scrapped in India. Maersk claims that conditions have improved and that the Shree Ram shipyard complies with the company’s standards. Danwatch decided therefore to follow the ships in order to observe conditions at the shipyard. Since Maersk refused at first to grant Danwatch access, our journalists travelled independently to India in the first weeks of August 2016. Here, the team drove through a checkpoint in eastern Gujarat state in order to get to the beach at Alang. Once on the beach, the team interviewed workers from Shree Ram for five days, documenting the facilities and obtaining an invitation to visit the shipyard.

WHY SHIP BREAKING IS IMPORTANT

Ninety percent of our food, clothing and other products have been transported via ship on its way to our homes. Sixty percent of the ships that carry these goods end their days on beaches in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. Here they are cut into pieces at great risk to both humans and to the environment, because the ships are dismantled in the intertidal zone, where asbestos, chemicals and fuel oil can flow freely into the surrounding ecosystems.

The United Nations’ labour organisation, the ILO, calls shipbreaking one of the world’s most dangerous jobs, costing numerous lives and mutilating many others every year. In 2016, the world’s largest shipping company, the Danish concern A. P. Møller-Maersk, made the notable decision to begin scrapping their ships on dangerous beaches in India. In light of this, Danwatch chose to dive deep into the ship breaking industry to tell stories from a closed-off world in which death, dismemberment, and environmental pollution are part of everyday life.
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Mærsk scraps ships at dangerous shipyards in India

Mærsk has sent two ships to be recycled at a shipyard in India considered by experts to be dangerous. Cancer, mutilation and death caused by a lack of protective equipment threaten employees, according to occupational safety experts that have reviewed Danwatch’s documentation. Mærsk concedes that there are problems.

Right now, the two nearly 300-metre long container ships Mærsk Georgia and Mærsk Wyoming are lying on a beach in India and being cut into pieces by Indian shipyard workers. The 20,000-ton steel ships have sunk into the sand off Alang beach on India’s west coast, where the Shree Ram shipbreaking yard has been hired to scrap the ships for Mærsk.

This must be done responsibly and in accordance with Mærsk’s own standards, according to the company’s stated policy. Mærsk also requires that the shipyard uphold the so-called Hong Kong Convention, which was created in part to ensure that scrapyards meet the necessary safety measures for their workers. Safety measures, that are supposed to put an end to gruesome statistics like the 69 who died at the shipyards in Alang between 2009 and 2013, according to the findings of Geetanj joy Sahu, assistant professor at the Tata Institute of Social Sciences, who has studied conditions there.

Danwatch chose to travel to India to investigate how Mærsk’s ships are recycled. We have documented the shipbreaking process at the specific yard, and have interviewed ten shipyard workers who report that neither they nor their colleagues have employment contracts - in direct violation of Mærsk’s internal standards and of international conventions.

In addition, the shipyard workers report that they work without necessary personal protective equipment in an industry that the International Labour Organisation (ILO) has called the most dangerous in the world.

Expert: “The shipyard should be shut down”

We showed photo documentation from the shipyard in India to a series of experts in occupational safety and health, including Hasse Mortensen, the former lead inspector consultant at the Danish Working Environment Authority, who has a thorough knowledge of occupational environment at shipyards. He was shocked by the conditions at the shipbreaking yard handling Mærsk’s ships.

“Where can be a sudden, imminent danger of explosion in the circumstances you’re showing me. I have almost no words to describe how wrong things could go for those workers if these gas lines get damaged and the gas ignites,” says Mortensen, looking at a picture from Shree Ram that shows unprotected gas cables near an open flame.

“In a Danish setting, this would be grounds to close the work site until the lines were hung properly and secured.”

- Hasse Mortensen, previous supervisory manager and senior consultant at WEA

“In a Danish setting, this would be grounds to close the work site until the lines were hung properly and secured. You have to
remind, these are extremely flammable gasses they are working with,” emphasises Mortensen.

Jane Frølund Thomsen, a senior consultant with the Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine at Bispebjerg University Hospital, agrees. She evaluates work-related illnesses among labourers, including shipyard workers, in Denmark.

“Torch cutting involves safety risk. It uses pure oxygen, which is liable to explode if there are sparks around, especially if the sparks get near the gas lines. If the insulation is burned off the lines, and oxygen leaks out, there is a serious danger of explosion and fire,” declares Thomsen.

Mærsk admits error
Many of the employees at Shree Ram work without necessary personal protective equipment like respirators, safety glasses, work clothes and hearing protectors. This, too, shocked Hasse Mortensen, who has seen many accidents caused by a lack of protective equipment in his 20 years at the Working Environment Authority.

“That is really poisonous smoke they’re breathing. Meanwhile, some are not even wearing flame-retardant clothing. This could be a life-threatening situation if the sparks hit their shirt,” insists Mortensen.

Mærsk concedes in an interview with Danwatch that there are areas in need of improvement in order to ensure worker safety.

“We have found few examples where dismantling is being undertaken without the necessary safety equipment. The situation is being addressed by the shipyard. It is of course unsatisfactory if the equipment is not being worn, even in isolated cases. This is one of the issues regarding safety equipment that the shipyard is addressing,” said Annette Stube.

The shipyard workers at Shree Ram earn their pay by cutting the ship into small pieces that can be recycled in the steel industry. They do this by mixing oxygen and gas in a device that can cut through steel and paint with a flame that can reach up to 1500 degrees Celsius. The process is called torch cutting, and it gives off a number of harmful substances, according to Mortensen.

About Mærsk
• Mærsk was founded in 1904 and has its global headquarters in Copenhagen.
• Mærsk owns and operates 605 vessels
• The company’s annual revenue in 2015 was 40 billion US dollar
• Mærsk’s fleet is currently valued at 12.8 billion US dollars.
• For comparison, the entire Danish fleet is valued at 21.4 billion US dollars.
• The company operates in over 130 countries and employs approximately 88,000 people.

Source: www.maersk.com, VesselValue
“When you are torch cutting with black steel, microscopic particles and gasses are given off that are extremely dangerous to inhale. It can therefore have disastrous, damaging health effects on the body if you are not properly protected,” says the former lead inspector of the Working Environment Authority.

**Poisonous smoke can cause cancer**
Over the years, Danish metal workers have contracted serious illnesses and even died as a consequence of not wearing the necessary safety equipment. Jane Fre Lund Thomsen of Bispebjerg University Hospital knows exactly how this kind of smoke affects the body, since she sees Danish metal workers in her practice who are suffering from lung disease and cancer.

“The rules here in Denmark require an exhaust system when doing that kind of work. It’s hard to say whether there is an acute danger, but if they perform torch cutting in a confined space for long enough, there is a real risk of suffocation,” says Thomsen.

Protection from welding and cutting smoke is not only a central element in Danish workplace law, it is required by both Mærsk’s own standards and the Hong Kong Convention, which both Mærsk and Shree Ram claim to uphold.

Some of the workers who spoke to Danwatch reported that they use a white mask when they are welding in the ships at Shree Ram. But an ordinary mask is far from enough to keep dangerous gasses out, says Thomsen.

“A mask offers hardly any protection. It doesn’t filter out toxic gasses at all, and not much of the smoke, either. The smoke can contain formalin when you’re dealing with painted surfaces, and we know that formalin causes lung cancer, because it’s carcinogenic. But it would have to be present in a certain concentration,” says Thomsen.

**Beaching in Alang, India**
India’s Alang Beach and Bangladesh’s Chittagong Beach are the world’s two largest recipients of ships destined for breaking and recycling. In Alang, the “beaching-method” is used, in which ships are sailed toward the beach at high speed when the tide is highest and stranded. Once the tide recedes, the ship breaking can begin.

When a ship is stranded, it is first emptied of liquids and gasses, along with any machinery and inventory that can be reused and sold. Then the ship’s hull is broken into pieces by hand with the help of cutting torches. Large sections are cut loose and usually fall onto the sand. From here they are either transported to the shipyard using a winches or crane, or they are cut into smaller pieces and pulled ashore by hand. Once the pieces are on land, they are cut up even smaller and sorted so they can be sold for melting down or re-rolling into sheet metal[A15].

**The Hong Kong convention**
The Hong Kong convention is a global agreement adopted by the International Maritime Organisation. It’s purpose is to ensure that ship dismantling does not pose unnecessary risks to humans and the environment. The convention has not yet entered into force as this would, among other things, require a minimum of 15 countries ratifying the convention.

So far only five countries (Norway, France, Belgium, Panama and the Republic of Congo) have done so. According to the Danish Minister for Environment and Food Esben Lunde Larsen a Danish ratification of the convention is underway, which Mærsk is an advocate for.

Source: Miljø- og fødevareministeriet IMO

---

Sources:
- Intertidal Zone Study, Lithehauz ApS (October 2015)
- Asolekar, Shyam and Anon Hirenmath; Significant steps in ship recycling vs-avis wastes generated in a cluster of yards in Alang: A case study (September 2014)
- Sakar, Dibyendu et al. An Integrated Approach to Environmental Management (2015[6])
According to the Hong Kong Convention, ship-breaking facilities must ensure access to and maintenance of personal protective equipment and clothing. Workers must use this protective equipment when their activities require it.

The convention specifies as protective equipment:
- head protection;
- face and eye protection;
- hand and foot protection;
- respiratory protective equipment;
- hearing protection;
- protectors against radioactive contamination;
- protection from falls; and
- appropriate clothing.

meet Mærsk’s standards, and so maybe they prepared some nice paperwork to explain how they plan to make improvements along the way. But the problems I see here are so significant that it seems completely meaningless. They are not even close to meeting the requirements. It’s the absolutely baseline conditions that are the problem,” underscores the professor.

Annette Stube reports that Mærsk has invested a great deal in hiring competent people to represent the company at the shipyard.

“We have several people at the shipyard who have the power to stop the work if it does not comply with the standards. They are specialists, employed by us, with their own office at the shipyard so they can be on site.”

Peter Hasle believes that this is a healthy approach, but has a hard time understanding what those specialists are doing at the shipyard if such dangerous conditions are to be found.

“Mærsk has a tremendous responsibility here. If they are present and observe these things without taking action, then they are communicating to the local management and employees that these dangerous situations are acceptable. If Mærsk is present, but does nothing, then Mærsk employees learn that it’s acceptable to conduct business that way – and that Mærsk’s requirements do not matter,” says Hasle.

Danwatch has interviewed more than ten workers from Shree Ram who were able to document that they are employed at the shipyard. They report that they have no contract and that they do not know what their rights are as employees. This is one more issue that surprises the professor about Mærsk’s actions, especially since the company’s standards explicitly emphasise how important it is that all workers have a contract and know their rights.

“When employees don’t have a contract, then they are not in a position to object if they feel that conditions are unsafe. Likewise, they won’t stop working even if they become seriously ill from torch cutting without a respirator, for example, as they apparently do.”

Hasle continues, “It seems that Mærsk is using its standards as an image of how nice and tidy their shipbreaking operations are. But in reality, the standards are not being met at all.”

Again Maersk recognizes, that there are conditions that have not been in order, but that they have taken actions on this since Danwatch’s visit to the yard.

“The contractual situation is one of the factors that were not completely in order when we started our cooperation with Shree Ram, and which has recently been brought to order,” said Stube.

The Shree Ram shipyard declined to comment on the documentation collected by Danwatch. Mærsk would not say when the company expects the shipyard to be in compliance with their standards.

Mærsk’s own guidelines for ship breaking in Alang

In 2016, in connection with its decision to send its ships to be scrapped in India, Maersk prepared an appendix to the company’s ship breaking policy that describes in minute detail its requirements for safety installations, environmental considerations, and ship breaking procedures for their ships on Alang Beach.

Among other requirements, the appendix says that all persons in the shipyard’s work areas must at minimum wear a safety vest, shoes, glasses and helmet. In addition, anyone doing cutting work must wear a protective suit, gloves and a filter mask that covers the nose and the mouth. Articles of protective clothing should be durable, flame retardant and chemical resistant, with fluorescent stripes.[Al7]

Source: www.maersk.com

The ILO’s guidelines for safety equipment in ship breaking

According to the International Labour Organisation’s health and safety guidelines related to ship breaking in Asian countries and Turkey, protective equipment and clothing must be provided and maintained by the employer without cost to the employee. The guidelines’ list of personal safety equipment includes head protection, face and eye protection, respiratoratory protection and protection against falling.

Source: www.ilo.org: “Safety and health in shipbreaking: Guidelines for Asian countries and Turkey”
Maersk Georgia and Maersk Wyoming have been beached by the Shree Ram yard where they lie wedged between other end-of-life ships in the intertidal zone. August 2016.
2: “Talk about the problems and you’ll be fired”

Threats, fear and inadequate protective equipment are commonplace at the shipbreaking yard in India where two Maersk ships are now being cut up for scrap. Ten shipyard workers tell Danwatch that they lack contracts and safety equipment, and some have been pressured into silence. Reckless, say experts.

The windows at Maersk’s headquarters on Copenhagen’s harbourfront glow with light on the dark winter morning of February 11, 2016. Less than 24 hours earlier, the company distributed its annual report to journalists and investors, and their verdict was grim. Profits plunged from 5.1 billion US dollars in 2014 to 920 million US dollars in 2015, a financial headache for the firm to which markets reacted by sending its stock price into the cellar. Analysts around the world call on the firm to make drastic budget cuts and find new business models if it hopes to preserve its market position.

But Maersk had already taken steps to help offset the disappointing result. At the bottom of page 15 in their newly-released Sustainability Report for 2015, the company explains that it expects to save 150 million US dollars by disposing of their decommissioned ships at a shipbreaking yard on a beach in India.

"The number of vessels up for recycling by Maersk Group companies has been limited for the past decade, but in the next five years a larger number of assets owned by the Group will reach end of life. Using only responsible recycling facilities is estimated to incur extra costs of more than 150 million dollars."

The next day, someone in the communications department presses ‘send’, and a press release hits hundreds of inboxes all over the world, setting the agenda in the shipping business for months. In these few seconds, Maersk announces that in the future, it will be scrapping its ships at dangerous shipbreaking yards on a polluted beach in India where between 2009 and 2014, sixty-nine people lost their lives and many others were wounded.

But Maersk has a plan. It will only use one shipyard, which has been certified under the Hong Kong Convention, a new international agreement on responsible ship recycling. Maersk will furthermore require the shipyard to comply with a long series of additional requirements from the company itself, and will have its representatives on site at the Shree Ram shipyard on the west coast of India during the entire shipbreaking process. The first to be scrapped will be the 20,000-ton container ships Maersk Wyoming and Maersk Georgia. Maersk’s savings will amount to one-two million US dollars per scrapped ship in comparison with the more responsible and modern shipbreaking operations in China and Turkey that the company has used in the past.

NGOs argue that beaching must end now. We agree. In Maersk Line we have a policy on responsible ship recycling.

- Jacob Sterling, former head of sustainability at Maersk, 2013
to the development of a more sustainable shipbreaking industry in India.

“Today, the majority of ships are dismantled and recycled at facilities on beaches. Here, the standards and practices often do not adequately protect the people working at the facilities and the natural environment. We have decided to play a role in changing this situation. Alone and in partnership with others, we will work to upgrade conditions at recycling facilities on the beaches in the Alang area, India, while we remain committed to responsibly recycle our own ships and rigs,” wrote Niels S. Andersen, administrative director for Maersk, in the company’s Sustainability Report for 2015.

The announcement that Maersk would now be sending its ships to be scrapped at widely-criticised shipyards in India came in sharp contrast to statements made by Jacob Sterling while he was sustainability director at the company. In a contribution to the shipping blog gcaptain.com on August 30, 2013, Sterling emphasised how dangerous the shipbreaking industry is for human beings and the environment and called for an end to shipbreaking operations on beaches.

“The vast majority of ships are taken to India, Pakistan or Bangladesh to be scrapped on the beach. There is something quite wrong with that...NGOs argue that beaching must end now. We agree. In Maersk Line we have a policy on responsible ship recycling. Since 2006, we have recycled 23 ships responsibly, and we have sent none to the beach.”

In the time since the publication of Sterling’s blog post, the Shree Ram shipyard became certified as compliant with the so-called Hong Kong Convention. Maersk claims that the conditions have been improved to such a degree that it has changed its position and will now allow its ships to be scrapped on open beaches in India.

Danwatch decided therefore to travel to India to find the Maersk Georgia and the Maersk Wyoming and to survey the consequences of the shipbreaking industry. Is this decision an example of “doing well by doing good,” or has Maersk decided to chase profits instead of the “constant care” required by the company’s motto?

No security
Nitin Pathu pulls his legs up under him on the yellow bamboo bench. His eyes flicker about as he twists his bony form in an effort to locate his ID papers in a pocket. He has only been working at Shree Ram for a few months, but he is an experienced shipyard hand. For six years, he has worked breaking up ships at different yards along the Alang beach. When we show him the picture of the Maersk Wyoming, now beached at the Shree Ram shipyard, Pathu points to its bow with a sooty index finger. “I helped cut that off,” he says.

He seems happy to be working at the Shree Ram shipyard, despite the fact that neither he nor his colleagues have any job security; he says that none of them have employment contracts, even though it is required by law. But since Maersk became a customer at Shree Ram, they all figure there will be lots of work for the next few months. He is content with the safety protocols at the shipyard and isn’t nearly as afraid of getting hurt as he was at the other shipyards. It’s safer than the others, he explains.

The interview with Nitin Pathu takes place one afternoon in
August 2016. He does not know that in a few hours, a good friend of his will fall off one of the ships and die. Nor does he know that he may himself be in mortal danger while working at Shree Ram. Highly explosive gases are not protected from open flame, and flammable clothing is commonly worn by Pathu and his colleagues, according to Danwatch’s clandestine recordings from the shipyard – to which we shall return.

**Sustainable solutions**

Back at Maersk’s headquarters in Copenhagen in February 2016, interviews are granted to both Danish and international press, and the message is clear.

“We want to play a role in ensuring that responsible recycling becomes a reality in Alang, India. To find sustainable solutions, we are working on building a broader coalition with other ship owners and have initiated engagement with a number of carefully selected yards in Alang. This includes improving local waste facilities and hospitals – and upgrading the housing conditions for the migrant workers in Alang,” says Annette Stube, now director of sustainability at Maersk.

Danwatch therefore requested permission to visit the shipyard in order to see how a 300-metre ship consisting of 20,000 tons of steel and dangerous waste is dismantled on an open beach in India. Maersk denied the request, but promised that Danwatch would be allowed to visit the shipyard in the autumn.

Instead of waiting, Danwatch went to India in August to document how the shipyard workers at Shree Ram were being exposed to dangerous chemicals without protective equipment and were at significant risk of fatal accidents. All this without an employment contract and thereby job security in what the International Labour Organisation (ILO) describes as one of the world’s most dangerous occupations.

**An unannounced visit**

On a Tuesday at the beginning of August, a white four-wheel drive vehicle rolls up to a checkpoint in the eastern part of Gujarat state in India. The guards cast a stern glance over every truck that approaches. This checkpoint is the only official entrance to the ten kilometres of beach that hold 150 shipbreaking yards and more than 50,000 workers. We had asked for official permission to visit, but were turned down. The truck up ahead is pulled over for inspection. Our 4x4 is waved through.

A cloud of smoke hangs like a blanket in front of the car as we drive past the guards at the checkpoint. Several hundred ships lie like gigantic monuments, towering above the walls that line the beach road. We roll the windows down. The smell of burnt rubber and metallic smoke fills our lungs in a split second – accompanied by shouts and the honking of trucks. A gate opens, and we catch a glimpse of the world hidden behind the walls. Slipping between trucks loaded with metal plates, hundreds of shipyard workers pour over the dusty road. It’s lunchtime. Their faces are dark with soot, and some of them wipe their blackened hands with a cloth. Behind them the gate is now opened wide, and a rusty ship comes into view. It has been cut open from top to bottom, and resembles most of all a carved-up whale, with its entrails pouring out of its side. The red ship has been taken apart and spread out on the beach.

But this is not the Shree Ram shipyard, and the ship is not one of Maersk’s. So we spend the next few days trying to find the Maersk Wyoming and the Maersk Georgia and investigating the area.
Living by a swamp of urin and feaces
Back at Nitin Pathu’s hut, a little ways up a bluff, you can look out over thousands of corrugated metal dwellings. Here live most of the shipyard workers who break up the ships on the beach. There are a few toilets, but the swamp we just passed through provides evidence enough that most toilet visits take place in the bushes. The swamp has reached its breaking point, though, and sends a narrow stream of vile-smelling liquid through the village and past the entrances to many of the homes.

Pathu is 20 years old and has worked in the area since he was 14. A few months ago, he got work at the Shree Ram shipyard, where Maersk’s ships are, and one of his first jobs was to cut the bow off the Maersk Wyoming.

“There is often oil on the steel when I’m cutting it. But we usually throw sand on the sheet metal, so we can scrape most of the oil off,” says Pathu, as he shows us the mask he uses while cutting. This mask cannot protect him from the dangerous smoke that is produced while he cuts with his torch. More on that later.

Pathu is employed as a so-called torch cutter. His job is to cut the large steel plates off of the ship so they can be recycled in the steel industry. But it is not without risk, says the young shipyard worker, lifting his shirt to show us the left side of his back. The light from the doorway shines on his skin to reveal a scar that covers the lower lumbar area. He says that a ruptured gas canister caused an explosion when he was working at one of the other shipyards on the beach. He was in the hospital for a month, he says, and received no compensation from his employer. Now he says the young shipyard worker, lifting his shirt to show us the left side of his back. The light from the doorway shines on his skin to reveal a scar that covers the lower lumbar area. He says that a ruptured gas canister caused an explosion when he was working at one of the other shipyards on the beach. He was in the hospital for a month, he says, and received no compensation from his employer. Now he

Pressured into silence
A few hundred metres further up the hill sits Kalil Abida. He has worked at the shipyards in Alang since 2000, and has been at Shree Ram for a long time now. He says that twenty days earlier, he helped collect oil from one of Maersk’s ships, so he knows the new ships on the beach well. He shares Pathu’s hopes of compensation, should he be the victim of an accident. But he doesn’t have a contract either, and doesn’t have any idea what his rights are. All he knows is that the work at Shree Ram shipyard is dangerous, and he tries to be as careful as he can.

“Sometimes things fall down around me, and there’s a lot that can go wrong. It wasn’t that long ago that a piston fell on my foot. We work with iron, so you can imagine how that felt,” said Abida.

He invites us into his metal shack, about twelve square metres in size, because he wants to tell us something without being overheard by too many others. In the left-hand corner of the shack hangs a dirty work coat. If you squint you can just make out the orange letters on the coat’s front pocket that say “Shree Ram Group”.

“Shree Ram has accidents, too. All the shipyards have accidents. But since we get no pension, and the pay is so low, it’s hard to put much money aside in case something happens. Maybe the shipyard will pay for my treatment if something happens to me. But if I were seriously injured, I don’t think they would pay the kind of compensation that my family and I could live on,” says Abida.

He continues. “A little while ago, my boss said that if we complained about the conditions to anyone outside the shipyard, he’d fire us, so I’m uncomfortable because of the insecurity. I’m afraid that I’ll be fired if Shree Ram finds out what I told you. But it’s important that you tell other people what’s going on.”

Over the next five days, Danwatch stays inside the area where the ships are being scrapped. We attempt to gain access to the Shree Ram shipyard to find out if what the workers are saying is true. But the area is tightly guarded, and since our presence in the beach area is already illegal, we resolve to be patient.

In the meantime, we interview a total of ten randomly chosen Shree Ram shipyard workers. We double-check their papers, ask them about members of Shree Ram’s management, and question them about its facilities, all to ensure that they actually work at that specific shipyard.

The workers tell us that they don’t have a contract, and that no one has anything in writing about their rights. Some say that they wear masks when working with dangerous particulates, and others say the opposite. Several wear flammable cotton shirts on the job, even though they are working as torch cutters with flames that can get as hot as 1500°C. We agree that we absolutely must get into Shree Ram to see what is going on.

Open flames and cotton shirts
On the fifth day, a gigantic gate slides open. We can barely believe it. A three-metre-high wall and numerous guards have stood between us and the work at Shree Ram shipyard since we first arrived in the area several days earlier. It is a restricted area, and we are trespassing, but we have found a way to get in. How it happened, we can’t say, but the gate has opened.

Before us loom two blue container ships, casting their shadows over the shipyard. They are enormous, more than twice as large as the ships around them. The sounds of cutting and hammering against metal vibrate in our heads as we walk through the shipyard and down toward the beach. The Maersk Georgia’s red bow has sunk deep into the wet, sandy beach. The Maersk Wyoming’s bow has been cut off, so it looks like a face without a nose.

Between the ships, a blue piece of hull lies near the water’s edge. Approximately ten workers are shrouded in smoke and fumes as they attempt to cut it to pieces. Flames shoot out of various openings, making the air thick with a smothering haze that is reminiscent of a burning building. Two men squat, trying to cut loose a painted door.

The smoke from the torch cutting envelopes their heads, and they
wear neither masks nor hearing protectors. Their assistants, who hold the gas lines for the torch cutters, do not wear masks or safety glasses. Two of the workers, who stand right next to the flames, appear to be wearing cotton shirts.

The workers say that Maersk’s ships came this year in May and June respectively, and they are making good progress in breaking them up. For the next hour, we are shown around Shree Ram’s facilities.

We are astonished On the way out of the area, we discuss what we have just seen and photographed. Several workers were torch cutting surface-treated steel without masks, hearing protection or protective glasses. They were wearing cotton clothing near open flame, and gas lines lay about among sharp flakes of iron and welding torches. We agree that we need to run all this by experts in Denmark. But before we do, we stop at Alang Hospital, the only one in the area. We have an appointment with Dr Raj Ankur. He has seen many bodies in his years as a doctor in the area, but he definitely believes that safety has gotten better over time.

“There are still many deaths and accidents every year, but the numbers are falling,” says Ankur.

He offers safety instruction to the shipyard workers at the local course center, and it’s working, Ankur says. But in his opinion, he still sees too many accidents.

No statistics on accidents Unfortunately, Dr Ankur lacks statistics regarding how many people are injured at the shipyards. But according to figures collected by Professor Geetanjoy Sahu at the Tata Institute of Social Sciences in India, who is one of the few who has studied the conditions at Alang, sixty-nine people died of injuries sustained at the shipbreaking yards between 2009 and 2014.

The death toll is too high, according to Dr Ankur, but there’s not very much that he and the rest of the hospital team can do. There are only a few doctors, and they get no support from the local government, he says. They have only one operating table and no blood bank, so most of the injured are brought directly to the larger hospitals in Bhavnagar, which is an hour and a half from Alang by car.

The doctors in the city don’t know how many are injured at Alang either, because they don’t keep records of where patients come from, says senior consultant Pandi Kehr of Bhavnagar Hospital.

“At the beginning, we don’t have statistics on how many of our patients come from Alang. But we know that we do get patients from the shipbreaking yards,” says Dr Kehr, who regrets not having more information.

Before we leave the area around Alang, we stop by a building on top of a hill. We’ve been told it is a new hospital. Looking through the windows, we can see that it does indeed contain hospital equipment and signs pointing to various surgical departments. But the door is locked behind an iron grille, and passersby tell us that the hospital is unfinished – and empty – for years.

”He’s wearing some kind of indeterminate, easily-combustible shirt. This could be a life-threatening situation if the sparks hit his shirt,”

- Hasse Mortensen, former lead supervisor and consultant at the Labour Inspection

Dangerous and reckless One of these experts is Peter Hasle, professor of occupational environment at the Centre for Industrial Production at Aalborg University. He has studied working environment management for many years, and was previously a professor at the National Research Centre for the Working Environment. He has reviewed the photo documentation from the Shree Ram shipyard and compared it with Maersk’s standards as well as the Hong Kong Convention, which Maersk has also required the shipyard to comply with.

“I have my doubts as to how many managers and employees have had the training in occupational safety that Maersk says they must have, because it’s obvious that no one is intervening in these dangerous situations. It’s quite clear that the management accepts that the work is being done recklessly,” says the professor.

Maersk also writes that they will conduct regular inspections. But if Maersk had a qualified person walking through that shipyard, that person would have a long list of problems to be addressed. There would even be instances where work would need to be stopped and started over, because it is simply too dangerous,” emphasises the professor.

To identify the dangers that can arise at a shipbreaking yard, we contacted Hasse Mortensen, former lead inspector and consultant at the Danish Working Environment Authority, who has deep knowledge of occupational environment at shipyards. He is one of the few in Denmark who has carefully studied the Danish shipyards, and is familiar with the risks connected with this work.

Hasse Mortensen looks over a photo of a tangled web of gas and oxygen lines in a pile of sand at the Shree Ram shipyard. The lines lead to the middle of the beach, where they wind in and out of a chunk of a blue ship being cut up with torches.

“There can be a sudden, imminent danger of explosion in the circumstances you’re showing me. I have almost no words to describe how wrong things could go for those workers if these gas lines get damaged and the gas ignites. That could happen if the gas lines on the ground were struck by a sharp object. In a Danish setting, this would be grounds to close the work site until the lines were hung properly and secured. You have to remember, these are extremely flammable gasses they are working with,” says Mortensen.

Jane Frølund Thomsen, a senior consultant with the Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine at Bispebjerg University Hospital, agrees. She evaluates work-related illnesses among labourers, including shipyard workers, in Denmark.

“Torch cutting involves safety risk. It uses pure oxygen, which is liable to explode if there are sparks around, especially if the sparks get near the gas lines. If the insulation is burned off the lines, and oxygen leaks out, there is a serious danger of explosion and fire,” declares Thomsen.

Peter Haase is mystified that it is at all possible for the shipyard workers to find themselves in such a dangerous situation when Maersk is present at the shipyard.

“Maersk requires that the shipyard draw up a plan to scrap the ship responsibly. But it doesn’t seem as though they have a plan. A plan would include hanging the gas lines up, for example, so they
Mortal danger: “I have almost no words to describe how wrong things could go for those workers if these gas lines get damaged and the gas ignites,” says former lead supervisor and consultant of the Labour Inspection, Hasse Mortensen.
can’t be accidentally cut. There are also a number of access routes that would need to be marked and made safer if there was such a plan. So either there is no plan, or it’s not being observed at all,” says Hasle. He continues by expressing concern at the shipyard workers’ claims that they do not know their rights and have no employment contracts. “When employees don’t have a contract, then they are not in a position to object if they feel that conditions are unsafe.”

“This could be a life-threatening situation” Hasse Mortensen continues going through the pictures and zooms in on a shipyard worker holding a gas line while his colleague cuts a metal plate just a few centimetres away. Sparks fly out in a wide arc toward the gas line as the Maersk Wyoming, its bow torn away, towers behind them. The worker is dressed in a striped shirt, and wears neither mask, goggles, nor hearing protection. “They are torch cutting surface-treated black steel without wearing respirators. That is really poisonous smoke they’re breathing. Meanwhile, he’s not even wearing flame-retardant clothing, but some kind of indeterminate, easily-combustible shirt. This could be a life-threatening situation if the sparks hit his shirt,” insists Mortensen.

Some of the workers who spoke to Danwatch reported that they use a white mask when they are cutting up the ships at Shree Ram. But an ordinary mask is far from enough to keep dangerous gases out, says Thomsen. “A mask offers hardly any protection. It doesn’t filter out toxic gases at all, and not much of the smoke, either. The smoke can contain formaldehyde when you’re dealing with painted surfaces, and we know that formaldehyde causes lung cancer, because it’s carcinogenic. But it would have to be present in a certain concentration,” says Thomsen.

**Straight into the lungs**
The Indian shipyard workers break up the ship by cutting a gash in the hull and then cutting each part into smaller pieces. The process is called torch cutting. The workers use a device that combines oxygen and gas to yield a flame that can reach 1500°C. This process releases a number of harmful substances and creates an enormous amount of noise, according to Hasse Mortensen. “When you are torch cutting with black steel, microscopic particles and gasses are given off that are extremely dangerous to inhale. It also generates noise at a level that can cause permanent hearing loss if you do not use hearing protection. It can therefore have disastrous, damaging health effects on the body if you are not properly protected,” says Mortensen, who has particularly thorough knowledge of safety equipment in the shipping industry.

We told him that the respiratory mask that some of the workers at Shree Ram wore when torch cutting was of the model 3M N95 8210. “This mask is not sufficient to protect against particles and smoke from torch cutting. It is specifically designed to protect against dust. Smoke from torch cutting can contain particles that are up to 1000 times smaller than dust. So if the mask cannot filter out particles this size, they pass through, straight into the lungs of the affected worker,” says Mortensen. He notes that the gasses are especially dangerous if you are not wearing the proper protection.

Some of Denmark’s leading experts in gas are at the Danish Gas Technology Centre, which is owned in part by Dong Energy. They offer guidance and perform measurements regarding gas usage, and know a great deal about the specific gasses that Mortensen refers to. “Carbon monoxide binds to red blood cells about 250 times better than oxygen and when inhaled reduces the ability of the blood to transport oxygen to the rest of the body. In this way, oxygen uptake in the body’s cells is impeded. This is why inhaling
even small amounts is dangerous, and prolonged inhalation even of small amounts is extremely risky, since long-term exposure to carbon monoxide can cause brain damage,” writes the Danish Gas Technology Centre on its informational website, naturgasfakta.dk.

**Convention breach**

In order to protect vulnerable shipyard workers from danger, Maersk developed what they called their standard. According to the company, the standard builds on the Hong Kong Convention, an international agreement worked out by the United Nations’ International Maritime Organisation (IMO) to promote the safe and environmentally responsible recycling of ships. Compliance with this convention is a minimum baseline requirement for Maersk to be able to enter into a partnership with a shipbreaking yard. But since it seems that Maersk’s own standard is not being enforced, how well is the Shree Ram shipyard doing in their compliance with the convention?

One of the few people in the world to have thoroughly analysed the Hong Kong Convention, with which the Shree Ram shipyard is supposed to be in compliance, is Kanu Jain, a shipbreaking researcher at Delft University of Technology in Holland. He is about to complete his PhD on the subject, for which a large part of his research has been focused on shipbreaking methods.

Kanu Jain has examined the photos from Shree Ram, and concurs with the experts’ assessments of the dangerous situations they depict. He affirms that they show clear breaches of the Hong Kong Convention, an agreement that he considers weak to begin with.

“Workers seem to be missing breathing and eye protection during cutting operations, which violates Regulation 22 – ‘Worker safety and training’ – of the Hong Kong Convention,” says Jain, who has authored with Professor J.J. Hopmann from the same university and others a scholarly article on the Hong Kong Convention itself. Peter Hasle has also gone over the convention, and reaches the same conclusion as Jain.

“The Hong Kong Convention says that workers must wear suitable clothing, whereas Maersk is much more specific in its requirements. But it’s clear to me that the workers lack protective glasses, safety vests, flame retardant clothing, and so on. So the shipyard is definitely not meeting the requirement of suitable clothing,” says the professor.

The UN’s maritime organisation, IMO, which drew up the convention, declined to comment on whether Shree Ram was in compliance with the convention. It replied in an email that the convention has not yet come into force, nor has India ratified it. For this reason, it was the private auditing firm ClassNK that certified the shipyard according to the firm’s own standards, which, it asserts, conform to the convention’s requirements. In short, Shree Ram’s certification is not an official IMO certification, and ClassNK declined to comment on Danwatch’s documentation of convention violations.

The auditing firm explained in a written statement, “ClassNK issued a Statement of Compliance in accordance with the HKC (Hong Kong Convention, ed.) to Shree Ram Vessel Scrap Pvt. Ltd. (plot 78) in December 2015. The Statement of Compliance represents that the ship recycling facility in the given plot has the capabilities, structures, competencies and Ship Recycling Facility Plan in place to be able to operate in accordance with the HKC, however...”
we are not in position to comment on the daily operations of individual yards.”

“There could be gaps”
In both press conferences and interviews, Annette Stube has expressed how satisfied Maersk is with its partnership with Shree Ram and the standard the shipyard has achieved. She was quoted on June 8, 2016 on the industry website Søfart.dk as saying, “The development in Alang in recent years has meant that a number of certified shipyards are now able to meet our standards for ship-breaking.”

When we present the reactions of experts to the dangerous conditions at Shree Ram to Maersk in an interview at the end of September, Stube’s tone is quite different.

“Shree Ram is not completely perfect. There are deficiencies. You can probably find gaps with respect to the Hong Kong Convention, too – but they are certified. In addition, we have a team at the shipyard full time, and if they see something, they can stop the work, as they have done a number of times. They are specially trained for this.”

The fact that Maersk is on site at Shree Ram comes as a surprise to Professor Hasle after seeing the documentation from the shipyard.

“Maersk has a tremendous responsibility. If they are present and observe these things without taking action, then they are communicating to the local management and employees that these dangerous situations are acceptable,” he says.

Maersk admits error
After seeing Danwatch’s documentation, Maersk does concede that there are things going on at the Shree Ram shipyard that are unacceptable. In the situations determined by experts to leave shipyard workers vulnerable to the risk of explosion, the company acknowledges that safety at the yard is not good enough.

“We agree that the photos show that our requirements are not being met. The situation is being addressed by the shipyard,” says Annette Stube, director of sustainability at Maersk.

A similar admission was forthcoming regarding the situations that experts concluded exposed workers to toxic particulates and potentially fatal gasses.

“We have found few examples where scrap work is being undertaken without the necessary safety equipment. The situation is being addressed by the shipyard. It is of course unsatisfactory if the equipment is not being worn, even in isolated cases. This is one of the issues regarding safety equipment that the shipyard is addressing,” repeats Stube.

Maersk denies, on the other hand, that the shipyard workers at Shree Ram lack contracts or are uninformed about their rights.

“We can say with certainty that all employees at Shree Ram have contracts that describe their working conditions and that they have signed. In addition, the workers have received training with respect to their rights. Those employees who are unable to write have signed with a fingerprint,” says the sustainability director.

This statement conflicts sharply with what the workers from Shree Ram told Danwatch, however.

Handi Assad and his three colleagues help disassemble ships’ engines at Shree Ram, and they have never seen a contract.

Did you get any papers when you started working? Something where it is written what you will be paid, how much you must work, and what your tasks are?

“No,” they answer, one at a time.

Are you sure?

“Yes,” they say in unison.

Adah Advika was just hired a month ago, and he too has yet to see a contract.

When you started working on the ship with the big star on it, did your employer give you any papers about your responsibilities, your pay, and your working hours?

“I wasn’t given anything. But I was photographed for my entry card to the shipyard,” says Advika.

Adah Advika’s colleague Sunil Akani has worked at Shree Ram since 2011. Asked whether he has any paperwork pertaining to his employment, he answers, “No, nothing. Just my entry card.”

The rest of the workers give Danwatch the same answer.

Poor hospitals
We asked Maersk why they would go into one of the world’s most dangerous industries without a plan for how shipyard workers would receive medical care in case of an accident. Especially if they fall victim to a serious accident, in light of the revelations regarding the serious safety protocol breaches at Shree Ram.

“There is no way we are or can be satisfied with the quality of the hospitals in Alang. This is an area where there has got to be progress, and we are in dialogue with both authorities and donors. It is also, however, an area where achieving the necessary standards is going to take time,” says Annette Stube.

Over the next five years, Maersk expects to save approximately 150 million US dollars by scrapping their ships in Alang instead of at sustainable shipbreaking yards in places like China, which they have used in the past. We hoped therefore to determine how much of this money Maersk has invested in Alang specifically, since they knew that the conditions were questionable and required investment to secure employee safety.

“We prefer not to disclose the amount of our investments to date in Shree Ram and the Alang area. We have primarily invested in auditing and consultation, while Shree Ram has invested heavily in structural improvements to the yard,” replied the sustainability director.

The morgue
After our interviews with the ten Shree Ram workers, there was a fall at one of the other shipbreaking yards along the beach. The doctors at Bhavnagar Hospital reported that one of the workers fell into a tank and was found a few hours later, lifeless. A number of the workers we had interviewed knew the deceased quite well.

Doctors at Bhavnagar Hospital reported that one of the workers fell into a tank and was found a few hours later, lifeless. A number of the workers we had interviewed knew the deceased quite well.

Adah Advika’s colleague Sunil Akani has worked at Shree Ram since 2011. Asked whether he has any paperwork pertaining to his employment, he answers, “No, nothing. Just my entry card.”

The rest of the workers give Danwatch the same answer.

Shree Ram is not completely perfect. There are deficiencies. You can probably find gaps with respect to the Hong Kong Convention – but they are certified.

- Annette Stube, Sustainability Manager at Maersk

"Shree Ram is not completely perfect. There are deficiencies. You can probably find gaps with respect to the Hong Kong Convention – but they are certified.

- Annette Stube, Sustainability Manager at Maersk"
The hospital in Alang has only one operating table and no blood bank. It is very dirty and the hospital is missing equipment to perform operations. Most injured will have to go to a larger hospital in Bhavnagar which is a half-hour drive from Alang.
Maersk disposes of hazardous waste in India without knowing the consequences for the environment

Maersk will save 150 million US dollars by scrapping its ships on a polluted beach in India. Pressure groups criticise the decision, while experts predict that hazardous chemicals will leak into the environment. Maersk admits that they do not know what the environmental impact of the new practice will be.

The blue bow of the ship Maersk Wyoming turns toward the beach near the town Alang, on the west coast of India. It’s late in May 2016, and the seven-pointed star sparkles in the sunshine as the captain accelerates the ship.

Crowds of people stand on the beach and watch as the 20 000-ton ship ploughs through the water toward them. The ship soon is soon moving quickly, and the captain points the ship between two wrecks on the beach. The hull scrapes along the seafloor and, within seconds, the steel ship – as long as three football pitches – has come to a rest in the sand.

It has come here to die – over the coming months the Shree Ram breaking yard will slowly cut the ship into pieces. The process takes place out in the open and alongside hundreds of other ships that are all slowly polluting the sea and environment with hazardous waste.

Maersk changes course

Four months earlier, on February 11, 2016, Maersk announced in a press release that they had made a deal with a shipbreaking yard in India to save money. Until now, the shipping giant normally used shipbreaking yards in China and Turkey to dispose of a few ships every year. But changes in the shipping industry means that Maersk now need to dispose of far more ships over the coming five years. If they move the shipbreaking to India, they could save up to 150 million US dollars during that period.

“With more vessels to recycle in the future the current cost of sustainable ship recycling is not feasible. The Maersk Group is determined to use its leverage to create more responsible recycling options and is thus announcing a commitment to help selected ship recycling yards in Alang to upgrade facilities and practices to comply with the company’s standards,” Maersk stated in the press release.

Four months later, the container ships Maersk Wyoming and Maersk Georgia are on the beach in Alang, India – a beach known for being heavily polluted with hazardous waste from the shipbreaking industry.

Shipping companies worldwide have been sending their ships to this beach for the past 30 years. It’s dangerous work for the poor local workers, and many have died as they have torn the ships apart by hand. Maersk knows this sad legacy, and they want to change it.

“We stay improvements of conditions have been witnessed in ship recycling yards in Alang in the last couple of years and today a total of four yards in Alang are certified to the standards of the International Maritime Organisation and Hong Kong Convention. Following several visits at upgraded beaching facilities in Alang in 2015, the Maersk Group concluded that responsible recycling can be accelerated in the area, if the engagement is made now,” Maersk stated in the same press release from February 2016.

In August 2016, Danwatch visited the beaches in Alang to document the situation and the changes that Maersk announced. We gained access to the Shree Ram shipbreaking yard where Maersk Wyoming and Maersk Georgia are being broken, and interviewed many of the staff that is working on Maersk’s ships. We have scrutinised both Maersk and Shree Ram and asked whether a 60-page manual for shipbreaking, and an unratified UN convention, are enough to transform an industry with a 30-year history of pollution, toward sustainability?

A confidential report

We received a confidential report. A report paid for by Maersk, and drawn up by one of the most respected consultancies in the shipping industry, Litehauz. It is dated October 8, 2015 – eight months before Maersk Wyoming buried its bow into the beach in Alang. The title “Litehauz – Intertidal Zone Study” sits beside a marine blue logo in the middle of the page, and a red anchor that lies to its left. The 41-page document contains in-depth scientific knowledge, which covers pretty much all the available research on the environmental impacts of shipbreaking on beaches, including testimonies from experts in the field.

Maersk later tells Danwatch that the report is the only one of its kind, that they have commissioned. And apparently it’s at the foundation of Maersk’s decision to save 150 million US dollars on shipbreaking by sending their ships to India, rather than more controlled facilities in for example China.

We dove down into the report. It describes the historic and current methods that are used to break ships on the beaches of Bangladesh, Pakistan and India. It lists the heavy metals and hazardous chemicals that the ships leak and which can be measured...
Large blocks and debris from the ships are stacked on the ground at the Shree Ram yard.
in the environment around the shipbreaking yards. The method of beaching ships at full power is specifically identified by the report as being one of the most harmful impacts on the environment. A large amount of anti-fouling paint – which contains chemicals that kill plants and animals – is scraped off the hull as the ship comes to a halt on the beach.

It also states that the ships are broken in the intertidal zone, meaning that seawater flows in and out of the ships. The tide in Alang is a massive 13 metres and, as it rises, it picks up oil, chemicals and wastewater that are exposed to the environment when the bulkhead and pipes are partitioned. The report concludes that there is no currently available technology that can realistically solve this problem.

"Avoiding the problem by cleaning all pipes before cutting does not appear feasible. More fundamental and costly changes to the intertidal zone recycling method would encompass the building of structures allowing the vessel to be lightened horizontally by cranes and the remaining still floating hull moved to a secure area with impermeable flooring. The effort to develop and implement a feasible technology is estimated to be 1-3 years and considerably more than 100 000 euro," the report concludes.

The report also states that the use of torch cutting to break the ships also presents serious environmental risks. As the ship is cut up in the intertidal zone, paint on the hull is burned away, releasing hazardous particles into the atmosphere, while paint chips and melted steel is leached into the sea.

The Lethauz report states that there are no physical safeguards that can prevent this from happening. They estimate that breaking a 10 000 ton ship in an intertidal zone using torch cutting will release around 120 tons of molten steel and two or three tons of paint. The Maersk Georgia and Maersk Wyoming are twice that size. This too will require economic investment and up to three years of research to find a solution for, the report states.

We are confused. How can the world’s largest container ship company make a decision to send its ships to Alang only eight months after this report concludes that the environmental impact of intertidal shipbreaking can only be minimised through vast financial investments, and several years of research?

UN’s special rapporteur: The conditions simply do not allow it

We contacted the UN for a meeting, and were put in touch with Baskut Tuncak, who is the UN Special Rapporteur on the implications for human rights of the environmentally sound management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes.

“Beaching cannot be done by its very nature in an environmentally sound manner. The conditions just don’t allow it”

- Baskut Tuncak, UN Special Rapporteur on the implications for human rights of the environmentally sound management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes.
"Beaching cannot be done by its very nature in an environmentally sound manner. The conditions just don’t allow it. The toxicity of the ship is such that the releases are significant. To me the practice of beaching is very troubling in that the toxic chemicals are entering the environment," says Baskut Tuncak.

He is aware that developments in practice are taking place in Alang, but he stresses that he has neither visited nor inspected the yards. But, from his role as special rapporteur, which requires monitoring and reporting on hazardous chemicals and waste to the UN, he states:

"Given the types of chemicals that are involved in assembling the ships and that are found on board and then eventually released once it’s dismantled, I find it very hard to envision a situation where beaching is taking place in an environmentally sound manner," says Tuncak.

An investment in knowledge

Given the scepticism expressed by the UN special rapporteur, and the remarkable conclusions made in the Litehauz report, we got in touch with Maersk to try and understand how they defend the use of Alang’s shipbreaking yards. They explained that their move to Alang should be seen as an investment into uncovering how ships can be broken on beaches in a manner that has lower environmental impacts.

"Georgia and Wyoming will be the first ships that are broken in Alang under such controlled circumstances," says Annette Stube, who is Head of Group Sustainability for the Maersk Group.

We are sitting on the sixth floor of Maersk’s offices on the harbourfront in central Copenhagen, together with a rather large delegation from Maersk including their head of press, head of sustainability officer and chief consultant.

"The environmental impact of this method is minimised but, as it is the first time it is being employed, there are no studies that can document the precise impact," Stube says.

She explains that Maersk has plans to commission an environmental impact study to outline the precise impact of their activities. The results will be shared with the general public early in 2017.

We would like to know a little more about this study. What the Litehauz’s report states is conversely that a massive investment of both time and money would be required before ships can be responsibly broken on beaches.

Stube replies that the Litehauz report generally outlines some problems that are faced in Alang and which need to be solved for shipbreaking to take place responsibly, and which Maersk have addressed in different ways.

She emphasizes a method meant to meet the issue of releases of paint and chemicals which happens when large pieces or blocks are cut off the ships, hitting the seabed with heavy impact. Instead, Stubbe says, the blocks will fall inside the ship itself whenever possible, thereby using the hull as its own containment.

"When we arrived in Alang, shipbreaking was carried out by cutting large blocks from the ship and letting them fall directly down into the tidal zone. In the yard we work with, however, the pieces instead fall into the ship. The only exception is the bow and stern, where it is not yet possible."

Trial and error

Maersk say that they are using their ships in Alang to test new ways of minimising the environmental impact of shipbreaking. And they say they have developed a number of new techniques for this. In
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We presented him with a number of methods, as described by

Maersk.

“Measures like letting blocks fall into the ship or covering the

beach before blocks fall on it sounds like a trial-and-error approach,

which will be difficult to fully control. Therefore, before such me-

asures are implemented, a thorough multi-expertise investigation

would be required,” says Kurt who is also a member different UN

committees on sustainable shipbreaking.

He continues: “When attempting to mitigate risks in ship recy-

cling it is important that the appropriate expertise is sought. Ill-con-

ceved improvements can lead to replacing the risk you are trying

to address with additional ones.”

**“Beaching would never be allowed in Denmark”**

We also contacted Patrizia Heidegger in Brussels. She is the Executi-

ve Director of the NGO Shipbreaking Platform and has followed

Maersk’s decision to beach end-of-life vessels in Alang closely. The

NGO campaigns for sustainable shipbreaking practices, which to them means ban-

ning shipbreaking on beaches altogether.

“Maersk used to be amongst the leaders on sustainable ship recycling,” says Patrizia

Heidegger over Skype. “They were proud to be using facilities that were off the beach.

Now Maersk simply turns a blind eye to the problems of the beaching method,” she

says, before listing a range of hazardous en-

vironmental pollution that results from ship-

breaking in intertidal zones – slags, paints,

scrap metal, rust and plastic.

“And they completely ignore the contamination by toxic an-
ti-fouling paints in the intertidal zone,” she adds, shaking her head.

“Beaching would never be allowed in Denmark and is not an accep-
ted method by the EU.”

Heidegger is frustrated by Maersk’s decision, which she sees as driven by economic interests wrapped in empty promises for im-

proving the conditions on beaches.

“They are the world’s largest ship owner, and when they make such a decision, they should be making long-term investments in in-
novation and engineering solutions that can contribute to a real paradigm shift in the way ships are recycled in Alang. If their objec-
tive truly was to make the Indian shipbreaking industry sustainable, then why are they not investing their profit from this in building

facilities off the beach?”

**Maersk won’t share record of hazardous waste**

When the team from Danwatch stood on the beach in Alang in
August 2016, two fishing boats sailed past the blue Maersk ships.

They were probably out to catch that day’s dinner. The local fisher-

men have long been affected by the pollution from the shipbreaking

yards, which has made it difficult for them to even catch fish. And

when they do, they don’t know if the fish are poisoned.

We therefore asked Maersk if they could tell us what type of
dangerous contaminants their ships contain – a so-called Inventory

of Hazardous Materials (IHM). But Maersk declined, giving the rea-

son that it forms part of their contract with Shree Ram.

“On principle, we do not share contracts with the public,” Stube

says.

We also asked Maersk whether they felt the local population has

a right to know what dangerous waste their ships contain – waste

that risks being released into the environment. This too Maersk

refused to answer. Despite of this, Maersk acknowledges that paint

from the hull of their ships contains the poisonous heavy metal

copper, and that this paint is scraped off the hull when the ship is

beached.

**Poisoning the environment**

We got in touch with Jakob Strand, a senior researcher at the De-

partment of Bioscience at Aarhus University. He is an environmen-
tal biologist with a specialisation in the occurrence and effects of

dangerous substances in marine environments. We asked him to
describe the environmental impact caused by beaching a ship to

dismantle it.

“The environmental impact is significant. When you cut through

paint it creates small flakes that are full of poisonous substances.

These sink into the sand and local environment. They become a

reservoir and will release substances into the environment for

many years. This slow poisoning is then passed through the entire

food chain,” says the senior researcher, before commenting on the

beaching method, in which the ship is dragged over the sea floor

before it settles on the beach.

**Beaching would never be allowed in Denmark**

- Patrizia Heidegger, adm. director for Shipbreaking Platform.

Copper is used to protect wood, as a fungicide, and for a range of

other purposes. In high concentrations it kills life. We know that

hull paint the concentration can be up to 40 percent copper. It is

an especially poisonous heavy metal,” says Jakob Strand, and adds:

“When Litehauz states, that between two and three tons of paint

is lost from a 10,000 ton ship, that is a large amount. We are talking

about several hundred kilograms, which I would say, are very large

amounts that can result in significant pollution. It would have urgent

poisonous effects on the local environment.”

Maersk agrees that the environmental impact of beaching has re-

sulted in enormous pollution over the years. And Maersk hopes

that their ships will pollute less in the future to minimise the strain

on the environment. But according to Stube, Maersk do not know

if they will be successful.

“We know that the methods used at Alang over the past decades

have resulted in horrendous pollution. If we continue with the met-

hods that we are currently using, will the environmental impact be

indefensible or not? We do not yet know,” Stube says, adding that

she is looking forward to publishing the results of Maersk’s studies

of their shipbreaking activities in Alang.
Plates covered in paint are stored at the Shree Ram yard. According to Maersk's own standards, dirty plates should not be directly on unprotected soil. When shown these pictures, Maersk claimed that the plates were clean.